



2008 Katy Trail Ride Rider Survey Results

1. Age

N	Valid	150
	Missing	0
Mean		52.21
Median		55.00
Mode		60

2. Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	male	97	64.7	67.8	67.8
	female	46	30.7	32.2	100.0
	Total	143	95.3	100.0	
Missing	System	7	4.7		
Total		150	100.0		

3. Annual household income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	under \$5,000	3	2.0	2.1	2.1
	\$10,000-14,999	2	1.3	1.4	3.5
	\$15,000-19,999	3	2.0	2.1	5.6
	\$20,000-24,999	2	1.3	1.4	7.0
	\$25,000-29,999	3	2.0	2.1	9.2
	\$30,000-34,999	4	2.7	2.8	12.0
	\$35,000-39,999	7	4.7	4.9	16.9
	\$40,000-44,999	9	6.0	6.3	23.2
	\$45,000-49,999	6	4.0	4.2	27.5
	\$50,000-75,000	41	27.3	28.9	56.3
	over \$75,000	62	41.3	43.7	100.0
Total	142	94.7	100.0		
Missing	System	8	5.3		
Total		150	100.0		

4. Highest level of formal education completed:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	grade school	2	1.3	1.3	1.3
	some high school	4	2.7	2.7	4.0
	high school degree or equivalent	5	3.3	3.4	7.4
	some college	35	23.3	23.5	30.9
	four-year college degree	51	34.0	34.2	65.1
	graduate degree	52	34.7	34.9	100.0
	Total	149	99.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.7		
Total		150	100.0		

5. Ethnic background

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Caucasian/White	141	94.0	95.3	95.3
	Hispanic	4	2.7	2.7	98.0
	Asian	1	.7	.7	98.6
	decline to answer	1	.7	.7	99.3
	other	1	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	148	98.7	100.0	
	Missing	System	2	1.3	
Total		150	100.0		

Other ethnicity:

Euro American

6. I bike most often on (check all that apply):

	Frequency	Percent
Streets in town	77	26.3
Bicycle trails	77	26.3
Katy Trail	52	17.7
Paved county roads	55	18.8
Gravel roads	8	2.7
Mountain bike trails	14	4.8
Other	10	3.4
Total	293	100.0

Bike other:

Hwy 61
 In my mind
 road tours
 Spin class
 state and federal hwys
 TMKT in Columbia
 Urban traffic

7. Approximate number of miles you ride each year:

N	Valid	141
	Missing	9
Mean		1594.20
Median		1000.00
Mode		500(a)

8. In the last year prior to this ride, how many times have you ridden the Katy Trail?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	57	38.0	38.0	38.0
	1-10	63	42.0	42.0	80.0
	11-20	13	8.7	8.7	88.7
	more than 20	17	11.3	11.3	100.0
	Total	150	100.0	100.0	

9. How many miles per day would you have preferred riding during the 2008 Katy Trail ride?

N	Valid	117
	Missing	33
Mean		45.701
Median		45.000
Mode		50.0

10. I learned about 2007 KT Ride from:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	past CAMP or KT Ride participant	40	26.7	26.8	26.8
	friend or relative	32	21.3	21.5	48.3
	registration form	2	1.3	1.3	49.7
	state parks special event calendar	3	2.0	2.0	51.7
	KT web page	48	32.0	32.2	83.9
	other web site	3	2.0	2.0	85.9
	newspaper	1	.7	.7	86.6
	bike magazine	5	3.3	3.4	89.9
	other	15	10.0	10.1	100.0
	Total	149	99.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.7		
Total		150	100.0		

Website:

Bike Forums
 Boy Scouts
 Google Search
 www.bikeleague.org

Newspaper:

Columbia Daily Tribune

Bike magazine:

Adventure Cycling
 Rails to Trails

Other source:

Bicycle shop owner rode & recommended
 Brother
 Clinton Chamber of Commerce
 Club members
 Flyer posted along the route
 Katy Guide Book
 Major Taylor Cycling Scholars in 2007
 Previous rider
 Tradition
 Volunteer
 Word of mouth, riders on trail

11. I decided to participate in this ride because (check all that apply):

	Frequency	Percent
I enjoy cycling	124	23.0
I wanted a physical challenge	88	16.4
I've never participated in a long distance cycling event	42	7.8
I enjoy an organized and active vacation	74	13.8
I wanted to experience Missouri's Katy Trail State Park	87	16.2
It was an opportunity to share an event with my family and/or friends	55	10.2
I wanted to meet other bicyclists	57	10.6
Other	11	2.0
Total	538	

Other:

Awesome officials and volunteers!!
 Economical vacation
 I love the ride!
 love history, bike trails and see other states by bicycle
 Research for a self supported trip
 See parts of Missouri towns
 Sight-seeing
 To keep my spouse happy.
 want to keep doing it
 Wanted to meet locals, boost their economies a bit.

12. During the 2008 Katy Trail Ride, I participated as a:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	full-tour participant	144	96.0	96.6	96.6
	volunteer	2	1.3	1.3	98.0
	day-rider	2	1.3	1.3	99.3
	non-rider	1	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	149	99.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.7		
Total		150	100.0		

		Number of days as day-rider	Number of days as non-rider
N	Valid	3	2
	Missing	147	148
Mean		3.00	5.00
Median		3.00	5.00
Mode		1(a)	5

a Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

Number of days as day-rider

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	1	.7	33.3	33.3
	3	1	.7	33.3	66.7
	5	1	.7	33.3	100.0
	Total	3	2.0	100.0	
Missing	System	147	98.0		
Total		150	100.0		

Number of days as non-rider

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	5	2	1.3	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	148	98.7		
Total		150	100.0		

13. The registration brochure:

a. was informative

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	104	69.3	71.7	71.7
	agree	35	23.3	24.1	95.9
	no opinion	2	1.3	1.4	97.2
	disagree	2	1.3	1.4	98.6
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.4	100.0
	Total	145	96.7	100.0	
Missing	System	5	3.3		
Total		150	100.0		

b. was accurate

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	99	66.0	68.8	68.8
	agree	40	26.7	27.8	96.5
	no opinion	2	1.3	1.4	97.9
	disagree	2	1.3	1.4	99.3
	strongly disagree	1	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	144	96.0	100.0	
Missing	System	6	4.0		
Total		150	100.0		

c. provided clear details regarding food, lodging & transportation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	97	64.7	67.4	67.4
	agree	35	23.3	24.3	91.7
	no opinion	7	4.7	4.9	96.5
	disagree	2	1.3	1.4	97.9
	strongly disagree	3	2.0	2.1	100.0
	Total	144	96.0	100.0	
Missing	System	6	4.0		
Total		150	100.0		

14. The registration postcard or email:

a. was informative

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	99	66.0	68.3	68.3
	agree	34	22.7	23.4	91.7
	no opinion	9	6.0	6.2	97.9
	disagree	1	.7	.7	98.6
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.4	100.0
	Total	145	96.7	100.0	
Missing	System	5	3.3		
Total		150	100.0		

b. was accurate

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	97	64.7	67.8	67.8
	agree	35	23.3	24.5	92.3
	no opinion	8	5.3	5.6	97.9
	disagree	1	.7	.7	98.6
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.4	100.0
	Total	143	95.3	100.0	
Missing	System	7	4.7		
Total		150	100.0		

c. arrived in a timely manner

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	94	62.7	65.3	65.3
	agree	40	26.7	27.8	93.1
	no opinion	5	3.3	3.5	96.5
	disagree	3	2.0	2.1	98.6
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.4	100.0
	Total	144	96.0	100.0	
Missing	System	6	4.0		
Total		150	100.0		

15. The confirmation packet:

a. arrived in a timely manner

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	101	67.3	69.2	69.2
	agree	38	25.3	26.0	95.2
	no opinion	3	2.0	2.1	97.3
	disagree	2	1.3	1.4	98.6
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.4	100.0
	Total	146	97.3	100.0	
Missing	System	4	2.7		
Total		150	100.0		

b. was easy to follow

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	103	68.7	71.5	71.5
	agree	32	21.3	22.2	93.8
	no opinion	6	4.0	4.2	97.9
	disagree	2	1.3	1.4	99.3
	strongly disagree	1	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	144	96.0	100.0	
Missing	System	6	4.0		
Total		150	100.0		

c. clearly described trip logistics

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	97	64.7	67.8	67.8
	agree	34	22.7	23.8	91.6
	no opinion	9	6.0	6.3	97.9
	disagree	1	.7	.7	98.6
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.4	100.0
	Total	143	95.3	100.0	
Missing	System	7	4.7		
Total		150	100.0		

d. clearly described the trip's degree of difficulty

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	88	58.7	61.1	61.1
	agree	43	28.7	29.9	91.0
	no opinion	8	5.3	5.6	96.5
	disagree	3	2.0	2.1	98.6
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.4	100.0
	Total	144	96.0	100.0	
Missing	System	6	4.0		
Total		150	100.0		

e. clearly outlined my responsibilities as a rider

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	107	71.3	75.9	75.9
	agree	26	17.3	18.4	94.3
	no opinion	4	2.7	2.8	97.2
	disagree	2	1.3	1.4	98.6
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.4	100.0
	Total	141	94.0	100.0	
Missing	System	9	6.0		
Total		150	100.0		

16. The registration fee is

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	too low	7	4.7	4.7	4.7
	about right	135	90.0	91.2	95.9
	too high	6	4.0	4.1	100.0
	Total	148	98.7	100.0	
Missing	System	2	1.3		
Total		150	100.0		

17. Would you be willing to pay more for an all-inclusive ride?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	59	39.3	41.0	41.0
	No	85	56.7	59.0	100.0
	Total	144	96.0	100.0	
Missing	System	6	4.0		
Total		150	100.0		

18. How much would you be willing to pay for an all-inclusive?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	\$250-\$350	20	13.3	29.4	29.4
	\$400-\$500	26	17.3	38.2	67.6
	\$550-\$600	15	10.0	22.1	89.7
	\$700-\$800	7	4.7	10.3	100.0
	Total	68	45.3	100.0	
Missing	System	82	54.7		
Total		150	100.0		

19. Do you have any comments about registration?

About right
 Coffee
 Excellent!
 Excellent, very organized
 Good
 Great
 Great Job
 Have it on-line.
 I like the on line features
 I prefer to camp. That gives more chances to mingle and develop a sense of community
 It was easy
 it was fine.
 It was fine.
 it went smoothly. everyone at every level was helpful, knowledgeable and friendly
 Loved it
 No signs where to camp. We had to move our tent.
 okay
 Online-would have been good-a convenience
 Online registration or a pdf with fields to type in would be nice.
 Papers that are to be signed and returned need to stand out more-colored paper, separation, etc.
 Registration went smoothly.
 Seemed to be very well planned
 The flooding reroutes were difficult.
 Very smooth and well-run
 Was great
 Wonderful Organization
 Worked well

20. The motor coach shuttle I took:

a. met my expectations

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	85	56.7	75.2	75.2
	agree	19	12.7	16.8	92.0
	no opinion	8	5.3	7.1	99.1
	strongly disagree	1	.7	.9	100.0
	Total	113	75.3	100.0	
Missing	System	37	24.7		
Total		150	100.0		

b. was worth the cost

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	89	59.3	78.8	78.8
	agree	14	9.3	12.4	91.2
	no opinion	8	5.3	7.1	98.2
	disagree	1	.7	.9	99.1
	strongly disagree	1	.7	.9	100.0
Total		113	75.3	100.0	
Missing	System	37	24.7		
Total		150	100.0		

21. Which motor coach did you take?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	advance	56	37.3	44.8	44.8
	return	59	39.3	47.2	92.0
	roundtrip	10	6.7	8.0	100.0
	Total	125	83.3	100.0	
Missing	System	25	16.7		
Total		150	100.0		

22. The ride staff and volunteers were:

a. friendly

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	129	86.0	87.2	87.2
	agree	13	8.7	8.8	95.9
	no opinion	1	.7	.7	96.6
	disagree	3	2.0	2.0	98.6
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.4	100.0
	Total	148	98.7	100.0	
Missing	System	2	1.3		
Total		150	100.0		

b. were readily available

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	121	80.7	82.3	82.3
	agree	20	13.3	13.6	95.9
	no opinion	3	2.0	2.0	98.0
	disagree	1	.7	.7	98.6
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.4	100.0
	Total	147	98.0	100.0	
Missing	System	3	2.0		
Total		150	100.0		

c. able to provide assistance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	124	82.7	84.9	84.9
	agree	13	8.7	8.9	93.8
	no opinion	3	2.0	2.1	95.9
	disagree	4	2.7	2.7	98.6
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.4	100.0
	Total	146	97.3	100.0	
Missing	System	4	2.7		
Total		150	100.0		

23. The baggage shuttle service:

a. handled baggage with care

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	115	76.7	79.3	79.3
	agree	23	15.3	15.9	95.2
	no opinion	3	2.0	2.1	97.2
	disagree	2	1.3	1.4	98.6
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.4	100.0
	Total	145	96.7	100.0	
Missing	System	5	3.3		
Total		150	100.0		

b. unloaded baggage when needed

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	120	80.0	83.3	83.3
	agree	18	12.0	12.5	95.8
	no opinion	3	2.0	2.1	97.9
	disagree	1	.7	.7	98.6
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.4	100.0
	Total	144	96.0	100.0	
Missing	System	6	4.0		
Total		150	100.0		

c. was to my satisfaction

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	122	81.3	84.7	84.7
	agree	16	10.7	11.1	95.8
	no opinion	3	2.0	2.1	97.9
	disagree	1	.7	.7	98.6
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.4	100.0
	Total	144	96.0	100.0	
Missing	System	6	4.0		
Total		150	100.0		

24. The portable shower facility was:

a. was necessary for a ride this size

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	120	80.0	89.6	89.6
	agree	7	4.7	5.2	94.8
	no opinion	4	2.7	3.0	97.8
	disagree	1	.7	.7	98.5
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.5	100.0
	Total	134	89.3	100.0	
Missing	System	16	10.7		
Total		150	100.0		

b. clean & well-maintained

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	117	78.0	87.3	87.3
	agree	12	8.0	9.0	96.3
	no opinion	2	1.3	1.5	97.8
	disagree	1	.7	.7	98.5
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.5	100.0
	Total	134	89.3	100.0	
Missing	System	16	10.7		
Total		150	100.0		

c. worth the extra cost

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	119	79.3	88.8	88.8
	agree	9	6.0	6.7	95.5
	no opinion	3	2.0	2.2	97.8
	disagree	1	.7	.7	98.5
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.5	100.0
	Total	134	89.3	100.0	
Missing	System	16	10.7		
Total		150	100.0		

25. The hotel shuttle was:

a. accessible

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	34	22.7	60.7	60.7
	agree	2	1.3	3.6	64.3
	no opinion	19	12.7	33.9	98.2
	strongly disagree	1	.7	1.8	100.0
	Total	56	37.3	100.0	
Missing	System	94	62.7		
Total		150	100.0		

b. on time

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	30	20.0	55.6	55.6
	agree	4	2.7	7.4	63.0
	no opinion	17	11.3	31.5	94.4
	disagree	2	1.3	3.7	98.1
	strongly disagree	1	.7	1.9	100.0
	Total	54	36.0	100.0	
Missing	System	96	64.0		
Total		150	100.0		

c. worth the daily \$15 fee

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	32	21.3	58.2	58.2
	no opinion	21	14.0	38.2	96.4
	disagree	1	.7	1.8	98.2
	strongly disagree	1	.7	1.8	100.0
	Total	55	36.7	100.0	
Missing	System	95	63.3		
Total		150	100.0		

26. The restroom facilities were:

a. conveniently located

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	66	44.0	46.5	46.5
	agree	51	34.0	35.9	82.4
	no opinion	16	10.7	11.3	93.7
	disagree	7	4.7	4.9	98.6
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.4	100.0
	Total	142	94.7	100.0	
Missing	System	8	5.3		
Total		150	100.0		

b. abundant in number

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	59	39.3	40.7	40.7
	agree	46	30.7	31.7	72.4
	no opinion	24	16.0	16.6	89.0
	disagree	12	8.0	8.3	97.2
	strongly disagree	4	2.7	2.8	100.0
	Total	145	96.7	100.0	
Missing	System	5	3.3		
Total		150	100.0		

c. clean & well-maintained

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	67	44.7	46.5	46.5
	agree	43	28.7	29.9	76.4
	no opinion	21	14.0	14.6	91.0
	disagree	7	4.7	4.9	95.8
	strongly disagree	6	4.0	4.2	100.0
	Total	144	96.0	100.0	
Missing	System	6	4.0		
Total		150	100.0		

27. The bicycle repair service:

a. was available when needed

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	63	42.0	61.2	61.2
	agree	14	9.3	13.6	74.8
	no opinion	18	12.0	17.5	92.2
	disagree	6	4.0	5.8	98.1
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	1.9	100.0
	Total	103	68.7	100.0	
Missing	System	47	31.3		
Total		150	100.0		

b. provided adequate repair

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	61	40.7	61.0	61.0
	agree	16	10.7	16.0	77.0
	no opinion	17	11.3	17.0	94.0
	disagree	4	2.7	4.0	98.0
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	2.0	100.0
	Total	100	66.7	100.0	
Missing	System	50	33.3		
Total		150	100.0		

c. was reasonably priced

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	61	40.7	62.9	62.9
	agree	11	7.3	11.3	74.2
	no opinion	20	13.3	20.6	94.8
	disagree	3	2.0	3.1	97.9
	strongly disagree	2	1.3	2.1	100.0
	Total	97	64.7	100.0	
Missing	System	53	35.3		
Total		150	100.0		

28. The SAG service:

a. was available when needed

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	107	71.3	75.9	75.9
	agree	24	16.0	17.0	92.9
	no opinion	6	4.0	4.3	97.2
	disagree	1	.7	.7	97.9
	strongly disagree	3	2.0	2.1	100.0
	Total	141	94.0	100.0	
Missing	System	9	6.0		
Total		150	100.0		

b. provided enough water and bananas

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	117	78.0	82.4	82.4
	agree	17	11.3	12.0	94.4
	no opinion	3	2.0	2.1	96.5
	disagree	2	1.3	1.4	97.9
	strongly disagree	3	2.0	2.1	100.0
	Total	142	94.7	100.0	
Missing	System	8	5.3		
Total		150	100.0		

c. provided adequate first aid

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	90	60.0	68.2	68.2
	agree	15	10.0	11.4	79.5
	no opinion	23	15.3	17.4	97.0
	disagree	1	.7	.8	97.7
	strongly disagree	3	2.0	2.3	100.0
	Total	132	88.0	100.0	
Missing	System	18	12.0		
Total		150	100.0		

29. The map booklet:

a. was easy to follow

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	106	70.7	72.6	72.6
	agree	25	16.7	17.1	89.7
	no opinion	9	6.0	6.2	95.9
	disagree	3	2.0	2.1	97.9
	strongly disagree	3	2.0	2.1	100.0
	Total	146	97.3	100.0	
Missing	System	4	2.7		
Total		150	100.0		

b. had accurate information

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	107	71.3	73.8	73.8
	agree	26	17.3	17.9	91.7
	no opinion	6	4.0	4.1	95.9
	disagree	2	1.3	1.4	97.2
	strongly disagree	4	2.7	2.8	100.0
	Total	145	96.7	100.0	
Missing	System	5	3.3		
Total		150	100.0		

c. was adequately detailed

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	103	68.7	71.5	71.5
	agree	27	18.0	18.8	90.3
	no opinion	6	4.0	4.2	94.4
	disagree	5	3.3	3.5	97.9
	strongly disagree	3	2.0	2.1	100.0
	Total	144	96.0	100.0	
Missing	System	6	4.0		
Total		150	100.0		

30. Business advertisements in the map booklet:

a. made it easier to find services

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	55	36.7	41.7	41.7
	agree	26	17.3	19.7	61.4
	no opinion	38	25.3	28.8	90.2
	disagree	9	6.0	6.8	97.0
	strongly disagree	4	2.7	3.0	100.0
	Total	132	88.0	100.0	
Missing	System	18	12.0		
Total		150	100.0		

b. influenced my decision to stop at a business

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	46	30.7	34.6	34.6
	agree	22	14.7	16.5	51.1
	no opinion	39	26.0	29.3	80.5
	disagree	14	9.3	10.5	91.0
	strongly disagree	12	8.0	9.0	100.0
	Total	133	88.7	100.0	
Missing	System	17	11.3		
Total		150	100.0		

31. The trail markings:

a. were easy to follow

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	100	66.7	68.5	68.5
	agree	31	20.7	21.2	89.7
	no opinion	10	6.7	6.8	96.6
	disagree	2	1.3	1.4	97.9
	strongly disagree	3	2.0	2.1	100.0
	Total	146	97.3	100.0	
Missing	System	4	2.7		
Total		150	100.0		

b. don't require improvement

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	91	60.7	63.2	63.2
	agree	32	21.3	22.2	85.4
	no opinion	10	6.7	6.9	92.4
	disagree	8	5.3	5.6	97.9
	strongly disagree	3	2.0	2.1	100.0
	Total	144	96.0	100.0	
Missing	System	6	4.0		
Total		150	100.0		

32. Please rate the facilities of each overnight stop:

a. St Charles

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	74	49.3	61.2	61.2
	good	35	23.3	28.9	90.1
	average	8	5.3	6.6	96.7
	poor	4	2.7	3.3	100.0
	Total	121	80.7	100.0	
Missing	System	29	19.3		
Total		150	100.0		

b. Hermann

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	89	59.3	62.7	62.7
	good	38	25.3	26.8	89.4
	average	11	7.3	7.7	97.2
	poor	4	2.7	2.8	100.0
	Total	142	94.7	100.0	
Missing	System	8	5.3		
Total		150	100.0		

c. Hartsburg

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	90	60.0	62.9	62.9
	good	43	28.7	30.1	93.0
	average	8	5.3	5.6	98.6
	poor	2	1.3	1.4	100.0
	Total	143	95.3	100.0	
Missing	System	7	4.7		
Total		150	100.0		

d. Boonville

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	86	57.3	60.1	60.1
	good	45	30.0	31.5	91.6
	average	8	5.3	5.6	97.2
	poor	4	2.7	2.8	100.0
	Total	143	95.3	100.0	
Missing	System	7	4.7		
Total		150	100.0		

e. Sedalia

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	96	64.0	66.2	66.2
	good	34	22.7	23.4	89.7
	average	11	7.3	7.6	97.2
	poor	4	2.7	2.8	100.0
	Total	145	96.7	100.0	
Missing	System	5	3.3		
Total		150	100.0		

33. Please rate the following routes:

a. St Charles to Hermann

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	89	59.3	64.5	64.5
	good	36	24.0	26.1	90.6
	average	7	4.7	5.1	95.7
	poor	6	4.0	4.3	100.0
	Total	138	92.0	100.0	
Missing	System	12	8.0		
Total		150	100.0		

b. Hermann to Hartsburg

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	88	58.7	63.3	63.3
	good	42	28.0	30.2	93.5
	average	5	3.3	3.6	97.1
	poor	4	2.7	2.9	100.0
	Total	139	92.7	100.0	
Missing	System	11	7.3		
Total		150	100.0		

c. Hartsburg to Boonville

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	91	60.7	65.5	65.5
	good	36	24.0	25.9	91.4
	average	7	4.7	5.0	96.4
	poor	5	3.3	3.6	100.0
	Total	139	92.7	100.0	
Missing	System	11	7.3		
Total		150	100.0		

d. Boonville to Sedalia

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	92	61.3	66.7	66.7
	good	34	22.7	24.6	91.3
	average	8	5.3	5.8	97.1
	poor	4	2.7	2.9	100.0
	Total	138	92.0	100.0	
Missing	System	12	8.0		
Total		150	100.0		

e. Sedalia to Clinton

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	83	55.3	60.1	60.1
	good	44	29.3	31.9	92.0
	average	8	5.3	5.8	97.8
	poor	3	2.0	2.2	100.0
	Total	138	92.0	100.0	
Missing	System	12	8.0		
Total		150	100.0		

34. Do you have any comments about trail quality?

- All good
- AWESOME
- Black top road between 48 & 49. You needed signs and a person there. Very unsafe.
- Breakfast was not early enough, get up at 5 a.m. Breakfast not til 7:30 or 8:30 cause of long lines
- Could have better markings at the end of the trail in Clinton indicating when to get off the trail.
- Didn't care for the detours around the flooded trails-needed shuttles around the second one
- Excellent
- Excellent condition despite rain.
- Fine
- Good shape
- Great
- Great job of maintenance. All were excellent/good
- Great trails and facilities
- GREAT!

- Have watermelon and less bananas
- Hermann to Hartsburg, gravel too deep.
- I know a lot of people complained about the detour but it was nice you offered the option of a detour and a shuttle. I liked the detour. Scenic
- I was sorry I didn't take the shuttle out of Hartsburg
- If you're going to use 40 Hwy very often get MoDot
- It was fine.
- It was great!
- Liked front loading heavier mileage days, tapering off on mileage as week progressed. Flooding reroutes more difficult than anticipated.
- None-great conditions
- Overall the entire trail is great you need more trees (Ha Ha)
- Overall, great
- Second detour on day 3 should of had option to shuttle around
- Sedalia needs to close the trail section that diagonally crosses 2 streets and put that on road
- Sedalia to Clinton was a little soft but that was probably from the rain night before. Thought Hartsburg-Rocheport best
- Sedalia to Clinton was very sandy and difficult to ride on.
- Sedalia to Clinton, surface was too loose.
- Seemed best maintained from Hartsburg to Rocheport
- Thanks for all the effort!
- Thanks for arranging the shuttle and detours! That's going beyond!
- The trail quality was fine.
- The trail was kept in excellent shape! Great job to all involved!!!
- The trail was soft before Windsor, at the SAG stop we talked with a "local" who said the trail is always soft. The wet weather just makes it worse.
- Too many soft spots Sedalia-Clinton, can't these be improved? Too many rough spots St Charles-Herman
- Trail quality was good all the way across
- Trail was in pretty good shape
- Trail was soft in places out of Sedalia (due to rain?!)
- Trail was well maintained and also very litter free
- Try to break-up the long day mileage, so that is isn't back to back
- Was fine! You guys rock!! Thanks everyone especially Connie

35. Please rate the breakfast meals at the following sites:

a. St Charles - breakfast sandwich, fruit, pancakes...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	54	36.0	43.5	43.5
	good	32	21.3	25.8	69.4
	average	19	12.7	15.3	84.7
	poor	19	12.7	15.3	100.0
	Total	124	82.7	100.0	
Missing	System	26	17.3		
Total		150	100.0		

b. Hermann - scrambled eggs, biscuits & gravy...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	102	68.0	73.9	73.9
	good	26	17.3	18.8	92.8
	average	5	3.3	3.6	96.4
	poor	5	3.3	3.6	100.0
	Total	138	92.0	100.0	
Missing	System	12	8.0		
Total		150	100.0		

c. Hartsburg - the Pancake Man, pancakes...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	89	59.3	65.9	65.9
	good	28	18.7	20.7	86.7
	average	10	6.7	7.4	94.1
	poor	8	5.3	5.9	100.0
	Total	135	90.0	100.0	
Missing	System	15	10.0		
Total		150	100.0		

d. Boonville - the Pancake Man, french toast

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	90	60.0	66.2	66.2
	good	28	18.7	20.6	86.8
	average	10	6.7	7.4	94.1
	poor	8	5.3	5.9	100.0
	Total	136	90.7	100.0	
Missing	System	14	9.3		
Total		150	100.0		

e. Sedalia - the Pancake Man, pancakes...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	87	58.0	63.5	63.5
	good	32	21.3	23.4	86.9
	average	10	6.7	7.3	94.2
	poor	8	5.3	5.8	100.0
	Total	137	91.3	100.0	
Missing	System	13	8.7		
Total		150	100.0		

36. Please rate the dinner meals at the following sites:

a. Hermann - ham, brats, pasta...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	93	62.0	65.5	65.5
	good	32	21.3	22.5	88.0
	average	9	6.0	6.3	94.4
	poor	8	5.3	5.6	100.0
	Total	142	94.7	100.0	
Missing	System	8	5.3		
Total		150	100.0		

b. Hartsburg - pasta, ice cream...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	66	44.0	47.5	47.5
	good	47	31.3	33.8	81.3
	average	19	12.7	13.7	95.0
	poor	7	4.7	5.0	100.0
	Total	139	92.7	100.0	
Missing	System	11	7.3		
Total		150	100.0		

c. Boonville – pork loin, chicken, cake...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	99	66.0	70.7	70.7
	good	27	18.0	19.3	90.0
	average	6	4.0	4.3	94.3
	poor	8	5.3	5.7	100.0
	Total	140	93.3	100.0	
Missing	System	10	6.7		
Total		150	100.0		

d. Sedalia - brisket, turkey, potato...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	108	72.0	77.7	77.7
	good	22	14.7	15.8	93.5
	average	6	4.0	4.3	97.8
	poor	3	2.0	2.2	100.0
	Total	139	92.7	100.0	
Missing	System	11	7.3		
Total		150	100.0		

e. Clinton (bag lunch) - sandwiches, chips...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	71	47.3	53.0	53.0
	good	45	30.0	33.6	86.6
	average	12	8.0	9.0	95.5
	poor	6	4.0	4.5	100.0
	Total	134	89.3	100.0	
Missing	System	16	10.7		
Total		150	100.0		

37. Please described what you liked about your favorite meal(s)

- All food was great!
- All good variety
- All great.
- All the food was good
- As a non beef or pork eater, took vegi option. was tired of pasta!
- Bbq brisket and desserts
- Beef brisket was excellent.
- Boneless chicken breast was excellent!
- Boonville & Sedalia had the best tasting meals and they were better riding food too!
- Boonville and Sedalia porkloins and brisket
- Boonville and Sedalia was very tasty and plenty. Keep this guy. Breakfast in Hermann gave best selection
- Boonville meal outstanding meat
- Breakfast in Rhineland, great sausage. Good overall selection inc. eggs, fruit, etc. Cooks & servers were excellent.
- Brisket-flavorful, tender, yummy. french toast was tasty too, satisfying
- Brisket and turkey. Pancake Man
- Convenience, friendly servers, handled many riders quickly
- Dessert
- Dessert! All the meals were very good.
- Desserts
- Dinners were great caterer excellent lots of variety and got a full meal
- Eggs for breakfast
- Enough food
- Entertainment value of the Pancake Man!
- Every meal was very good
- Everyone-Great!!!
- Everything was delicious!
- Everything was exceptable and good
- Everything was well cooked and not greasy
- Flavorful entree. Great sausage in Rhineland
- Fruit at breakfast, low fat protein at supper meals (i.e. chicken breast, smoked turkey), salads.
- Good choices lots of fruit plenty of fluid
- Good dinners!!
- Good food
- Good food, good variety
- Good food, plenty of it.
- Good pork loin, good bbq beef
- Good variety and user selected portion size
- Great chicken breast and scalloped potatoes
- Great quality and quantity
- Ham at St Charles was delicious and setting was great.
- Hermann breakfast was the BEST! Volunteers were gracious and food was delicious. Baked potatoes are a bonus for any meal
- Hermann nice assortment
- Home cooked meals were great. Liked all healthy options.

- Homemade sausage in Hermann
- Hot and fresh
- I liked that breakfast and dinner was taken care of for us. I just didn't like having to ride to breakfast 2nd day.
- I love chicken, was done just right
- It was good.
- Liked Sedalia dinner
- Local sausage at Hermann breakfast ruled! BBQ Brisket kicked butt, so did the baked potatoes.
- Local touch (German food in Hermann)
- Loved the brats and atmosphere in Hermann
- Loved the pork loin in Boonville and the baked potato in Sedalia
- Meals not that great Monday and Tuesday. Were not good at all Wednesday and Thursday. Caterers were better.
- Meat
- More vegetarian options
- No favorite, they were all about the same.
- Nutritious Food
- Oompah band!
- Pancake Man!! What a show, great tasting too. Rhineland breakfast was great.
- Please offer an opt-out for meals at a lesser price
- Plentiful
- Plenty of good food!!! I liked eating all together, gave us a chance to talk and meet new people!
- Plenty to eat
- Quality and quantity
- Quality and Quantity
- Quantity, decent to good taste, well rounded menu's
- Salads
- Taste
- Tasty-tender
- That meat in Sedalia was very tender, excellent sauce
- The beef brisket was great.
- The brats at Hermann (both meals) especially good! Potatoes and sides excellent at Hermann. Biscuits and gravy wonderful
- The food was just great. You went out of your way to accommodate me since I am a vegetarian!
- The food was very good. I enjoyed each meal
- The parmesan cheese at Hartsburg was a very nice touch!
- The venue at Hermann was outstanding (once you walked up the hill). Food at Hermann & Boonville, the best
- They tasted good and I didn't have to cook it.
- They were all good.
- They were tasty and filling.
- Variety
- Veggie option should be handles by a vegetarian but over all was a-ok
- Very good brisket!
- Very hardy and filling

38. Please describe what you disliked about your favorite meal(s)

- All ok with me. I am easy to please.
- Bag lunch; bread was lower quality
- Bland sandwiches in Clinton. More sausage at Pancake Man meals.
- Boonville facility was too hot
- Breakfast TOO LATE. Seldom ate it.
- Chicken at Boonville
- Clinton-would like different bread or roll, possible whole wheat.
- Decaf coffee at dinner please
- Desserts in general were forgettable. Pasta at Hartsburg was limp, veggies overcooked
- Did not like having just pancakes, sausage, cereal, fruit 3 days out of 5
- Didn't dislike a thing
- Didn't have anything bad
- Didn't like only having pancakes, sausage, cold cereal, fruit. Also should have had some eggs or biscuits, gravy, etc.
- dislike sausage
- Forget the McDonald's bag. I'd rather buy my own at a cafe on the route.

- French toast was soggy and not fully cooked in center
- French toast was too much white bread for me. I didn't like the scrambled eggs (watery and salty)
- Gained weight
- Got tired of seeing lettuce every night. Veggies overcooked at Hartsburg.
- Ham was fatty at Hermann
- Hartsburg dinner, sauce on pasta too thin and not enough meat
- Hartsburg meal was not the best. Pasta was undercooked, salad was warm. Also, two days of Pancake Man would be plenty!
- Hartsburg not much meat.
- Hermann-It was mostly brown
- Hermann breakfast: great food but too far to pedal before breakfast
- Hermann dinner, Brats ugh!
- I didn't dislike anything! This is a problem for my waistline.
- I just didn't like the noodles
- Lactose intolerant & syrup makes me nauseous so pancake breakfasts were not good for me. Need to keep a selection like eggs, biscuits, etc.
- Liked it all
- Long lines.
- Long slow lines
- Low quality and quantity
- Lunch: cheese on sandwich-no big deal
- McDonalds breakfast-not a fan of McD's breakfast
- McDonalds was not the best way to start the ride. Sat like a rock in my stomach.
- McDonalds was slow to serve & not my favorite.
- More eggs at breakfast
- More protein
- Need coffee always
- Need coffee available early morning. Pancake Man is too slow. We want food at breakfast, not a floor show
- Need more sides.
- No coffee with lunch or dinner
- No McDonalds
- None
- Not enough meat and protein in Hartsburg (too much pasta)
- Not enough protein
- Not very filling
- Nothing
- Out of biscuits at the Hermann breakfast. We was not real late
- Pancake Man was ok but after 3 days was a bit mush
- Pancake Man was slow
- Pancake Man was too sloooow! Breakfast needs to be fast. Biking 7 miles to breakfast was not liked.
- Pancakes not healthy. Would prefer eggs and some meats
- Pasta with meat sauce. Vegetables overcooked in Hartsburg
- Personally, I didn't like ham
- Please provide more healthy food
- Putting fruit salad on my pasta at Hartsburg
- Ran low on food
- The chicken in Boonville tasted awful and looked like pressed chicken parts
- The meat sauce was not to my liking
- The pasta at Hartsburg was just average
- The pasta in Hartsburg was bland.
- The vegetarian entree at Hartsburg was bland and cold.
- The wait in line was disgusting, someone needs to come up with a system where we don't have an hour long wait
- Three days with Pancake Man is too much!!
- Too many pancakes. I run on protein!
- Too much white bread. We want whole wheat
- Tweak the vegi menu to include protein. NOT more carbs. Talk to a grocery store dietician.
- Wait was too long.
- We had to get off our normal "healthy" diet for the ride, but that was ok

39. How important is having dinner and breakfast provided as part of fee?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	very important	120	80.0	83.3	83.3
	somewhat important	22	14.7	15.3	98.6
	not important	2	1.3	1.4	100.0
	Total	144	96.0	100.0	
Missing	System	6	4.0		
Total		150	100.0		

40. Please rate the quality of the water bottle:

a. durability

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	70	46.7	54.3	54.3
	good	37	24.7	28.7	82.9
	average	17	11.3	13.2	96.1
	poor	5	3.3	3.9	100.0
	Total	129	86.0	100.0	
Missing	System	21	14.0		
Total		150	100.0		

b. size

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	75	50.0	58.1	58.1
	good	38	25.3	29.5	87.6
	average	12	8.0	9.3	96.9
	poor	4	2.7	3.1	100.0
	Total	129	86.0	100.0	
Missing	System	21	14.0		
Total		150	100.0		

41. Please rate the quality of the 2008 KT Ride t-shirt

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	65	43.3	46.8	46.8
	good	61	40.7	43.9	90.6
	average	13	8.7	9.4	100.0
	Total	139	92.7	100.0	
Missing	System	11	7.3		
Total		150	100.0		

42. Overall, how was your 2008 KT Ride experience?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	123	82.0	86.0	86.0
	good	16	10.7	11.2	97.2
	average	4	2.7	2.8	100.0
	Total	143	95.3	100.0	
Missing	System	7	4.7		
Total		150	100.0		

43. Are you from Missouri?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	86	57.3	59.7	59.7
	no	58	38.7	40.3	100.0
	Total	144	96.0	100.0	
Missing	System	6	4.0		
Total		150	100.0		

44. Did you or are you planning to spend more time in Missouri before or after the ride for vacationing or recreational purposes?

I came early

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	16	10.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	134	89.3		
Total		150	100.0		

I came just for the ride

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	43	28.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	107	71.3		
Total		150	100.0		

I will be staying after the ride

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	13	8.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	137	91.3		
Total		150	100.0		

		Number of days came early	Number of days will be staying after
N	Valid	15	11
	Missing	135	139
Mean		2.00	3.45
Median		2.00	2.00
Mode		1	1

Number of days came early

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	7	4.7	46.7	46.7
	2	4	2.7	26.7	73.3
	3	1	.7	6.7	80.0
	4	3	2.0	20.0	100.0
	Total	15	10.0	100.0	
Missing	System	135	90.0		
Total		150	100.0		

Number of days will be staying after

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	4	2.7	36.4	36.4
	2	2	1.3	18.2	54.5
	3	1	.7	9.1	63.6
	4	1	.7	9.1	72.7
	6	1	.7	9.1	81.8
	7	1	.7	9.1	90.9
	10	1	.7	9.1	100.0
	Total	11	7.3	100.0	
Missing	System	139	92.7		
Total		150	100.0		

45. Do you plan on returning to MO?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	105	70.0	95.5	95.5
	no	5	3.3	4.5	100.0
	Total	110	73.3	100.0	
Missing	System	40	26.7		
Total		150	100.0		

46. If you are planning to vacation in conjunction with the ride, what areas are you planning to visit?

	Frequency	Percent
St. Louis area	38	42.2
Kansas City area	10	11.1
Branson area	10	11.1
Lake of the Ozarks area	16	17.8
Other	16	17.8
Total	90	100.0

Other area:

Boonville and other Katy towns
 Clinton, have friends there
 Hannibal
 Hermann
 Independence
 live here, born here and enjoy Missouri the best
 N/A
 Sedalia, Hermann
 Thayer

47. If you are planning to vacation in conjunction with the ride, what types of activities are you planning to participate in while on vacation?

	Frequency	Percent
Sightseeing	42	44.2
Amusement parks	2	2.1
Musical attractions	8	8.4
Outdoor recreation activities	35	36.8
Other	8	8.4
Total	95	100.0

Other activities:

Cave
 Hiking
 historical sites
 Pure tourism
 Summer theatre, museums
 Swim
 Visiting relatives

48. Please indicate your overnight accommodations for each of the following:

a. St Charles

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid I camped at the KT Ride campground	86	57.3	67.2	67.2
I camped elsewhere	1	.7	.8	68.0
I stayed with family/friends	17	11.3	13.3	81.3
I stayed at hotel, motel, B&B	24	16.0	18.8	100.0
Total	128	85.3	100.0	
Missing System	22	14.7		
Total	150	100.0		

b. Hermann

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	I camped at the KT Ride campground	114	76.0	82.0	82.0
	I stayed at hotel, motel, B&B	25	16.7	18.0	100.0
	Total	139	92.7	100.0	
Missing	System	11	7.3		
Total		150	100.0		

c. Hartsburg

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	I camped at the KT Ride campground	114	76.0	82.6	82.6
	I stayed with family/friends	2	1.3	1.4	84.1
	I stayed at hotel, motel, B&B	22	14.7	15.9	100.0
	Total	138	92.0	100.0	
Missing	System	12	8.0		
Total		150	100.0		

d. Boonville

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	I camped at the KT Ride campground	105	70.0	75.5	75.5
	I stayed with family/friends	2	1.3	1.4	77.0
	I stayed at hotel, motel, B&B	32	21.3	23.0	100.0
	Total	139	92.7	100.0	
Missing	System	11	7.3		
Total		150	100.0		

c. Sedalia

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	I camped at the KT Ride campground	105	70.0	75.5	75.5
	I stayed with family/friends	1	.7	.7	76.3
	I stayed at hotel, motel, B&B	33	22.0	23.7	100.0
	Total	139	92.7	100.0	
Missing	System	11	7.3		
Total		150	100.0		

49. Outside of registration and shuttle fees, what is the total amount you spent on the following during the 2008 Katy Ride?

		Total amount spent on lodging during 2008 KT Ride	Total amount spent on restaurant dining during 2008 KT Ride	Total amount spent on groceries/snack food during 2008 KT Ride	Total amount spent on shopping/souvenirs during 2008 KT Ride	Total amount spent on transportation during 2008 KT Ride	Total amount spent on other during 2008 KT Ride
N	Valid	51	107	83	58	45	16
	Missing	99	43	67	92	105	134
Mean		181.4902	61.2056	26.0843	37.9224	110.9556	134.1875
Median		150.0000	50.0000	20.0000	30.0000	50.0000	20.0000
Mode		70.00(a)	50.00(a)	10.00	50.00	100.00	10.00(a)

(a) Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

a. Lodging

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	.00	1	.7	2.0	2.0
	3.00	1	.7	2.0	3.9
	45.00	1	.7	2.0	5.9
	50.00	1	.7	2.0	7.8
	60.00	3	2.0	5.9	13.7
	64.00	2	1.3	3.9	17.6
	70.00	6	4.0	11.8	29.4
	75.00	1	.7	2.0	31.4
	80.00	1	.7	2.0	33.3
	100.00	6	4.0	11.8	45.1
	120.00	1	.7	2.0	47.1
	125.00	1	.7	2.0	49.0
	150.00	5	3.3	9.8	58.8
	160.00	2	1.3	3.9	62.7
	170.00	1	.7	2.0	64.7
	175.00	2	1.3	3.9	68.6
	190.00	1	.7	2.0	70.6
	200.00	2	1.3	3.9	74.5
	280.00	2	1.3	3.9	78.4
	300.00	2	1.3	3.9	82.4
	350.00	1	.7	2.0	84.3
	360.00	1	.7	2.0	86.3
	450.00	2	1.3	3.9	90.2
	480.00	1	.7	2.0	92.2
	500.00	4	2.7	7.8	100.0
	Total	51	34.0	100.0	
Missing	System	99	66.0		
Total		150	100.0		

b. restaurant dining

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	5.00	1	.7	.9	.9
	6.00	1	.7	.9	1.9
	10.00	3	2.0	2.8	4.7
	15.00	6	4.0	5.6	10.3
	20.00	8	5.3	7.5	17.8
	25.00	8	5.3	7.5	25.2
	26.00	1	.7	.9	26.2
	30.00	5	3.3	4.7	30.8
	35.00	1	.7	.9	31.8
	40.00	12	8.0	11.2	43.0
	45.00	1	.7	.9	43.9
	50.00	17	11.3	15.9	59.8
	60.00	5	3.3	4.7	64.5
	70.00	2	1.3	1.9	66.4
	75.00	3	2.0	2.8	69.2
	80.00	3	2.0	2.8	72.0
	90.00	3	2.0	2.8	74.8
	100.00	17	11.3	15.9	90.7
	107.00	1	.7	.9	91.6
	140.00	1	.7	.9	92.5
	150.00	5	3.3	4.7	97.2
	200.00	3	2.0	2.8	100.0
	Total	107	71.3	100.0	
Missing	System	43	28.7		
Total		150	100.0		

c. groceries/snack food

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2.00	1	.7	1.2	1.2
	3.00	1	.7	1.2	2.4
	5.00	8	5.3	9.6	12.0
	10.00	25	16.7	30.1	42.2
	15.00	6	4.0	7.2	49.4
	20.00	15	10.0	18.1	67.5
	25.00	7	4.7	8.4	75.9
	30.00	4	2.7	4.8	80.7
	40.00	3	2.0	3.6	84.3
	50.00	7	4.7	8.4	92.8
	75.00	1	.7	1.2	94.0
	80.00	1	.7	1.2	95.2
	100.00	2	1.3	2.4	97.6
	110.00	1	.7	1.2	98.8
	250.00	1	.7	1.2	100.0
	Total	83	55.3	100.0	
Missing	System	67	44.7		
Total		150	100.0		

d. shopping/souvenirs

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	.00	1	.7	1.7	1.7
	2.00	1	.7	1.7	3.4
	5.00	1	.7	1.7	5.2
	7.50	1	.7	1.7	6.9
	10.00	8	5.3	13.8	20.7
	15.00	3	2.0	5.2	25.9
	16.00	1	.7	1.7	27.6
	20.00	8	5.3	13.8	41.4
	25.00	2	1.3	3.4	44.8
	30.00	4	2.7	6.9	51.7
	40.00	3	2.0	5.2	56.9
	50.00	13	8.7	22.4	79.3
	55.00	1	.7	1.7	81.0
	60.00	4	2.7	6.9	87.9
	65.00	1	.7	1.7	89.7
	80.00	1	.7	1.7	91.4
	84.00	1	.7	1.7	93.1
	100.00	3	2.0	5.2	98.3
	120.00	1	.7	1.7	100.0
	Total	58	38.7	100.0	
Missing	System	92	61.3		
Total		150	100.0		

e. transportation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	5.00	1	.7	2.2	2.2
	7.00	1	.7	2.2	4.4
	8.00	1	.7	2.2	6.7
	9.00	1	.7	2.2	8.9
	10.00	3	2.0	6.7	15.6
	13.00	2	1.3	4.4	20.0
	15.00	3	2.0	6.7	26.7
	20.00	4	2.7	8.9	35.6
	30.00	2	1.3	4.4	40.0
	40.00	3	2.0	6.7	46.7
	50.00	3	2.0	6.7	53.3
	60.00	2	1.3	4.4	57.8
	70.00	1	.7	2.2	60.0
	100.00	7	4.7	15.6	75.6
	123.00	1	.7	2.2	77.8
	140.00	1	.7	2.2	80.0
	150.00	4	2.7	8.9	88.9
	200.00	1	.7	2.2	91.1
	500.00	3	2.0	6.7	97.8
	1000.00	1	.7	2.2	100.0
	Total	45	30.0	100.0	
Missing	System	105	70.0		
Total		150	100.0		

f. other

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	8.00	1	.7	6.3	6.3
	9.00	1	.7	6.3	12.5
	10.00	3	2.0	18.8	31.3
	15.00	1	.7	6.3	37.5
	20.00	3	2.0	18.8	56.3
	30.00	1	.7	6.3	62.5
	95.00	1	.7	6.3	68.8
	150.00	1	.7	6.3	75.0
	200.00	1	.7	6.3	81.3
	250.00	1	.7	6.3	87.5
	500.00	1	.7	6.3	93.8
	800.00	1	.7	6.3	100.0
	Total	16	10.7	100.0	
Missing	System	134	89.3		
Total		150	100.0		

Other expenditures

6 days travel time
 beer
 bicycle repair items
 bicycle shops
 repairs
 tire tubes

50. Do you plan on returning to any of the communities visited during the ride?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	98	65.3	79.7	79.7
	no	25	16.7	20.3	100.0
	Total	123	82.0	100.0	
Missing	System	27	18.0		
Total		150	100.0		

	Frequency	Percent
Clinton	24	4.6
Calhoun	8	1.5
Windsor	12	2.3
Green Ridge	7	1.3
Sedalia	52	9.8
Pilot Grove	7	1.3
Boonville	54	10.2
New Franklin	6	1.1
Rocheport	65	12.3
McBaine	7	1.3
Hartsburg	54	10.2
Tebbetts	10	1.9
Mokane	14	2.6
McKittrick	8	1.5
Treloar	9	1.7
Marthasville	15	2.8
Dutzow	10	1.9
Augusta	39	7.4
Matson	5	0.9
Defiance	26	4.9
St. Charles	74	14.0
other	24	4.5
Total	530	100.0

Other community:

Hermann, n=23

52. Would you consider participating in 2009 KT Ride?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	116	77.3	83.5	83.5
	no	12	8.0	8.6	92.1
	undecided	11	7.3	7.9	100.0
	Total	139	92.7	100.0	
Missing	System	11	7.3		
Total		150	100.0		

53. If yes, which month do you prefer to ride?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	June	97	64.7	78.2	78.2
	August	1	.7	.8	79.0
	September	26	17.3	21.0	100.0
	Total	124	82.7	100.0	
Missing	System	26	17.3		
Total		150	100.0		

54. If not interested in the 2009 Katy Trail Bike Ride or undecided, please indicate your reason(s). (Check all that apply.)

	Frequency	Percent
Scheduling conflicts	6	26.1
Participating in a different ride	6	26.1
Less than satisfactory experience this year	0	0.0
Do not know about the route yet	2	8.7
Other	9	39.1
Total	23	100.0

Other reason:

- Far from home
- Have not discussed yet, too early to plan
- have other trails on list to do
- I'm exhausted!
- I'm not fond of trail riding
- I go to different states each year.
- physical or temp. or other vacation
- Too difficult for me.
- too far to return (2500 miles)
- We go to different states each year.

55. If interested in future rides, which of the following would you prefer?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid overnight stops change every year	91	60.7	75.8	75.8
overnight stops stay the same ever year	3	2.0	2.5	78.3
kt ride every other year	3	2.0	2.5	80.8
all-inclusive ride	8	5.3	6.7	87.5
theme rides	12	8.0	10.0	97.5
other	3	2.0	2.5	100.0
Total	120	80.0	100.0	
Missing System	30	20.0		
Total	150	100.0		

Other idea:

- Any of those choices except skipping a year
- Any of those choices except skipping a year
- Bed and breakfast tour
- I like when we stay in small towns
- In addition to regular ride
- Overnights and lay over days in towns like Hermann and Boonville
- Shorter questionnaire
- This is my first one so it's hard to compare. I had an excellent time though.

56. Suggestions for other routes/themes:

- Badge, pin, hat or something at the end
- Bridging the Katy or The Bridges of Katy Trail
- Chasin' Katy; Across the Missouri on the Katy
- Historic Ride
- I don't see how a "theme" applies to a bike ride. It's a bike ride and that's a theme in itself.
- It is really about the ride
- Kick Tail on the Katy! Kickin it on the Katy; Cranking it up on the Katy. Kick Tail on the Katy Trail! (The "Tail" part alludes to a wildlife theme)
- Maybe consider keeping name the same like Oklahoma Free Wheel
- Maybe widen to include locally grown foods beyond wine and sausage. Fresh from the garden.
- Moving with the Missouri
- No preference
- Ride East
- Ride the Wind on the Katy; Rock and Roll the Katy Trail; Katy Trail or Bust; Pedal the Katy; Wheeling on the Katy; Katy Trail Tripping; Rolling Along
- Road Kill Katy; Pathfinder-Daniel Boone
- Steaming along to Katy
- Tour D'Katy
- What Katy Did
- Wild on the Katy
- Wine tour

57. Other comments

- A quiet zone for people who want to sleep until 6:30 p.m. The zippers starting at 5 a.m. was annoying (this is the only complaint I have). Great ride.
- A roster of all the people for each year, their ages, names and city/state/country they are from. Give a finishers medal (like we get for running a marathon).
- At end of this ride, sign-up for next year at check-in point
- Best ever!!

- Best ride ever! Make people stay up past 9 p.m. No waking up at 5 a.m.
- Bike got messed up in storage.
- Breakfast too late!
- Can't believe the value of this ride. Staff was awesome. Meeting could be better planned.
- Clearer instructions about hotel shuttle and mailing bike. Too much pancake man, more protein. Better/more sanitary food handling procedures at SAG stops. Start the 2009 ride the Monday before Father's Day.
- Coffee available before breakfast. Coffee at first SAG stop each day.
- Coffee before breakfast. Number of restrooms a little weak at some locations.
- Coffee provided early-6 a.m. Crunchy peanut butter too! Better marking for luggage trucks, maybe laminated, bright colors, in front and back of truck, where luggage is.
- Color of shirt not good
- Consider a special lifetime bike shirt for those who have ridden 3 years in a row or a total of 4 years.
- Deodorant cakes in men's urinal. More hand wash opportunities (at least sanitizer in or near porta potties). Daily sack lunch option (lunch was hit or miss). Do something about the pack of dogs on the trail before someone is hurt
- Encourage riders not to play radios where others can hear it. Some riders enjoy to sound of nature!!
- Excellent volunteers. So friendly!
- Fantastically great ride. No negative comments. People/friends at home and across country checked email (Katy Trail) daily. Please continue. Excellent advertising.
- First, let me thank all of the staff for the excellent care they took of us. Water bottle lid comes off, try specialized brand. Alert riders before Clifton City that the Mennonites do NOT want their picture taken. Jersey is great. Survey too long!
- For a first ride-you have set a high standard to compare others with. Everything was wonderful and met or exceeded all expectations. Thanks to everyone who helped. Really enjoyed the shower truck.
- Good job
- Great Staff!!! Great Ride!!! Great Asset to Missouri!!!!
- Great trip. Everyone is very friendly and helpful.
- Have coffee available in the morning.
- I've been on organized rides in Iowa and South Dakota. Nobody does this better than MoDNR! Clarence will be very much missed on future rides. This year's shower truck was excellent. Noise level in Hermann camping unbearable.
- I had a blast!
- I love the trivia questions. I would not have read the signs at SAG stops if not for these questions, plus I learned lots.
- I love this ride!!!
- I think your SAG stops need more kinds of nourishment. Whole wheat or multi-grain bread, PB & J crackers, nuts, etc. Fruit is ok but carbs are needed. You need to talk to some Arizona or Calif. bike clubs as they have much more experience in Sagging.
- I was very disappointed that while in Sedalia and tents were flooded, people had to pay for a taxi, when a shuttle was available.
- ID papers to pin on back, plastic or laminated paper.
- If people bring a pet on a cart, then they provide own sag.
- It was a great event (2007 & 2008). Really nice jerseys.
- It was a great ride!
- It was a wonderful first experience, the staff and volunteers were awesome! Thank you!!
- Just coffee at dinner meals
- Keep it up. I loved the winery setting for dinner and music in Hermann. Water park in Sedalia was refreshing. Entertainment in Sedalia excellent too. Thank you.
- Kemper at Boonville and Liberty Park at Sedalia need additional toilets
- Let's have some quality coffee and have it early, before breakfast. We will pay for good coffee.
- Many thanks to all of you that worked so hard for us to have so much fun.
- More masseuses, that was a great idea
- My bike sustained some damage in the overnight truck. A little more care when it comes to handling the bikes.
- Need coffee first thing in the morning. Need coffee first thing in the morning. Need coffee first thing in the morning (NOT decaf).
- Nothing started on time. Desserts in Hartsburg were great. Was not well informed about the detour. Too many hills, not just one long hill.
- Overall, the ride was well organized and very enjoyable
- Paying for a shuttle when flooding when shuttle was available
- Pickle juice at rest stop was great.
- Pickles and other food at rest stops other than bananas and peanut butter. All planners should live on bananas and peanut butter for 5 days prior to the event!
- Please consider more talks at the meeting on bike maintenance, repair and proper bike set-up. Need two bike mechanics

- Please have someone who knows what they are doing show your staff how to operate a PA system. You have a good one but it sounded awful in Boonville. The jersey needs to be specific to the ride, i.e. "Katy Trail Ride 2008" Stay with water bottle style
- Print the height above sea level at every town and trailhead maps. Clearly print all road by trail so we can drive SAG
- Radios without earphones should not be allowed!
- Really enjoyed the ride. Excellent job by the staff organizing this event. Appreciate the upgraded restroom facilities in Sedalia but still need more porta johns or stalls in bldg at Liberty Park. Thanks for the ride!!
- Smaller name ID for bikes.
- Survey needs to be proof-read
- Tell us when your reroute includes large hills so we can use our inhalers prior to hills! Review road rules. No need for entertainment. Better food for dinner. You are awesome. Thanks.
- Thank you for a wonderful experience! Thanks to the volunteers!
- Thank your for everything! The memories will be priceless!!!
- Thanks
- Thanks for a GREAT time.
- Thanks to staff and volunteers who did an outstanding job. Breakfast service at Rhineland was excellent. Of all others Pancake guy too slow. On BAK vendor feeds 800-1000 riders in much less time.
- The entire process was very well organized with great attention to detail
- The meetings never started on time. They were disorganized. People had other things to do. I enjoyed the lady that brought desserts in Hartsburg. This trip needs a new leader The 8 mile detour was not presented to us in an intelligent manner.
- The ride was excellent. Thank you!
- The volunteers were EXCELLENT! Thank you so much. We appreciated the safety and watchfulness of the Rangers and Park staff. Better coffee with whipping cream. Have it available before breakfast. Very good.
- This was my first Katy Trail experience and it far exceeded my expectations. I have done SAGBRAU in Wisconsin many times and your planning, food and friendliness was fantastic. The staff did a superb job of handling the flood issues. Thanks-wonderful week. Volunteers should not smoke near riders at any time.
- What a great experience.
- You all did a super job organizing, scheduling, supporting this ride. I had a great time and hope to do it again next year! Not all of the rest stops had hand sanitizer, which would have been nice. Thanks for all your hard work!
- You couldn't have done it better
- Your recycling bins were great!